

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2022 4:23 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T.
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: Revised Draft Order
Attachments: Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

- 1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.
- 2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvernant disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).
- 3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The Court recognizes that disclosure and discovery activity are likely to arise that will require the disclosure of: (1) information protected from disclosure by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (“FERPA”), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, or (2) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities.¹ Good cause exists to protect this information from public disclosure. In the absence of an Order safeguarding the confidentiality of such information, the parties would be hampered in their ability to produce such information.

Accordingly, based upon the agreement of the parties, the parties shall adhere to the following:

1. DESIGNATION OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

1.1 This Order shall govern all documents and other products of discovery obtained by the parties from one another, and from third parties, all information copied or derived therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations thereof, including documents produced pursuant to requests authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, answers to interrogatories, deposition transcripts, responses to requests for admission,

¹ If, during the course of discovery in this case, additional categories of confidential or sensitive information that should be protected from public disclosure are requested, the producing party may provide the requesting party with written notice of its or his intent to treat such information as confidential. Until such time as the parties agree to an amendment or modification of this Order and the Court enters the stipulated amendment/modification, or, if the parties are unable to agree to an amendment or modification, then until such time as the Court rules on the matter, the parties agree to treat the additional categories of confidential or sensitive information as Protected Material under the terms of this Order. Nothing in this paragraph should delay the production of any confidential or sensitive information, however.

affidavits, declarations, expert reports, and other such material and information as may be produced during the course of this litigation.

1.2 In connection with discovery proceedings in this action, any party may designate any non-public document, material, or information as “CONFIDENTIAL” (referred to as “Protected Material”) that the party reasonably and in good faith believes to contain: (a) confidential information protected from disclosure by FERPA; or (b) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities.

1.3 Any documents or things produced pursuant to a discovery request or other written materials exchanged by the parties (including discovery responses, letters, and briefs) that a party desires to designate as Protected Material shall be so designated by marking each page of the document, paper or thing CONFIDENTIAL and indicating the identity of the producing party (e.g., through the use of an identifying prefix to the document identification (Bates) number).

1.4 Whenever a deposition involves a disclosure of Protected Material, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) Any party may designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other parties on the record during the deposition. The Court Reporter shall be asked to make the appropriate confidentiality designation on each page of the transcript that contains CONFIDENTIAL information. At that time, all persons not qualified to receive that category of information shall leave the room prior to continuation of the deposition and until the conclusion of such designated testimony; and

(b) Any party may also designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other party in writing within ten days of receipt of the transcript. In such event, the parties shall confer as to the most convenient way to segregate the designated portions of the transcript. All information disclosed at a deposition and all information contained in deposition transcripts shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL for a period of ten days after the receipt of the transcript to permit adequate time for review of the transcript and notice to other counsel regarding any designation as Protected Material by a designating party.

1.5 Whenever any discovery request requires Defendant to produce Protected Materials documents or other information containing Personally Identifiable Information (as that term is defined in 34 CFR § 99.3) of students, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) The parties agree that, in compliance with FERPA, the Personally Identifiable Information of any students will be redacted prior to production in discovery in this case. The producing parties shall, upon request, provide to the receiving party the identity of the students whose Personally Identifiable Information was redacted. Documents or other information produced pursuant to this paragraph shall not be considered to be CONFIDENTIAL, unless appropriately designated as such in accordance with this Order.

(b) If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing the Personally Identifiable Information of any students, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material with the Personally Identifiable Information of students redacted. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly redacted from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unredacted versions of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the redactions of the late-marked material.

(c) Plaintiff may request that Defendant produce an unredacted copy of any materials produced in accordance with the prior section. If that occurs, prior to the production of any such unredacted Protected Material, Defendant shall make a reasonable effort to notify the student or the student's parent of the request for the production of the Protected Material as required by 34 CFR § 99.31(a)(9)(ii). After seven days, if no protective action has been sought by the parent or student, Defendant shall produce the unredacted Protected Material. The parties agree that this Paragraph 1.5(b) is not intended to limit Defendant's rights under FERPA or otherwise expand Defendant's obligations under FERPA.

(d) The Court Orders the Parties to comply with all discovery obligations in this case subject to any objections permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

accordingly, all discovery requests shall also be considered to be pursuant to a “judicial order” as that term is used in 34 CFR 99.31(a)(9)(i) for this purpose. Nothing in this paragraph limits or alters a party’s ability to object to any requested discovery or to seek assistance from the Court in connection with the scope of the requested discovery.

2. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

2.1 Protected Material, and all summaries, compilations, and derivations thereof, whether oral or written, shall be maintained in confidence, shall be used solely in the preparation, prosecution, or trial of this action (including any appeals) and not for any other purpose, and shall be disclosed only as provided in the following paragraphs.

2.2 Protected Material may be disclosed only to:

- (a) Any party or employee of a party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and to the extent the party’s counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed to the party, or employee of a party, so he/she can make informed decisions regarding this pending litigation.
- (b) The attorneys of record and their employees or outsourced personnel who are engaged in assisting in this action;
- (c) Any Independent consultants or experts retained by the party or its attorneys in connection with this action;
- (d) The Court and its personnel;
- (e) Court reporters and their personnel engaged in proceedings incident to preparation for trial or engaged in trial;
- (f) The jury, in the event this case proceeds to trial;
- (g) Any claims representative(s) for any party’s insurer;
- (h) Professional vendors and their employees, including copy services, trial graphics services, and translation services, engaged by counsel;
- (i) Any person who is indicated on the face of a document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient of the document, or the original source of the information;
- (j) Any other person as to whom the producing party agrees in writing; and
- (k) The parents of Plaintiff, to the extent Plaintiff’s counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed so Plaintiff can make informed decisions regarding the pending litigation.

2.3 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions, this Order has no effect upon, and its scope shall not extend to, any party's use of its own Confidential Material.

2.4 Prior to receiving any Protected Material, any persons described in sections (c), (e), (h), and (j) of paragraph 2.2 shall be furnished with a copy of this Order and must sign the Agreement to be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order (the "Agreement") attached to this Order. A copy of the signed Agreement shall be maintained by counsel for the party providing such access.

2.5 All persons in possession of or with access to Protected Material shall exercise reasonable and appropriate care with regard to the storage, custody, and use of such information in order to ensure that the provisions of this Order are observed and the confidential nature of the information is maintained.

2.6 Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party from introducing Protected Material into evidence at any evidentiary hearing or at trial or from using any Protected Material during a deposition.

3. CHALLENGES TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

3.1 Any party believing that particular information has been improperly marked, i.e., that it is not in fact CONFIDENTIAL, may challenge such designation at any time by raising the issue, in writing to the designating party, and specifically identifying, by document identification (Bates) number, by deposition page and line, or by other appropriate specific identifier, the information whose confidentiality status is challenged. Within five business days of receipt of such writing, the designating party shall either remove the designation, or respond that it has reviewed the matter and continues to maintain the designation in good faith.

3.2 The parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve any such dispute without calling upon the intervention of the Court. If the designating party maintains its designation and the parties are unable to reach agreement, the challenging party may bring the issue to the Court. The party asserting confidentiality shall have the burden of establishing the appropriateness of the designation, except that a party claiming that information designated by the other as confidential is in the public domain shall have the burden of proving such public knowledge.

3.3 Challenged information shall be treated as designated until the resolution of the dispute by the parties or ruling by the Court.

4. FILING OF PROTECTED MATERIAL AND USE AT TRIAL/HEARINGS

4.1 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or other court filing references or contains any Protected Material, the party shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to seeking leave to file the document under seal. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. Where redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the document under seal.

4.3 If any party intends to introduce or refer to Protected Material at any hearing or trial, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to introducing or referring to the Protected Material. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. However, if redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall first notify the producing/designating party and provide that party with an opportunity to object and/or to ask the Court to take appropriate precautionary procedures.

4.3 Nothing in this Order shall authorize the filing of a document under seal.

4.4 Nothing in this Order shall prevent any counsel of record from utilizing Protected Material in the examination of any person who is reasonably alleged to be the author or source of the Protected Material or who is reasonably believed to have knowledge relating thereto.

4.5 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing references or contains any material that is not Protected Material, but the disclosure of which would result in the identification of any current or former CWRU student (including, but not limited to, Plaintiff or Jane Roe), the filing party shall redact any personally identifying information regarding the current or former CWRU student, unless impracticable. Where redaction of personally identifying information is not possible or adequate to protect the identity of the current or former CWRU student, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing under seal.

5. TERMINATION OF LITIGATION

5.1 The obligations of this Order shall survive the termination of the action and continue to bind the parties. Within sixty days after termination of this action by judgment, settlement,

or otherwise from which no appeal can be brought, each party shall destroy all documents containing or disclosing Protected Material of any other party. Each party's outside litigation counsel shall have the right to retain in accordance with its document retention practices, in a secure location, electronic copies of Protected Material, including copies of any pleadings, motions, memoranda, documents, papers filed with the Court, deposition transcripts and exhibits; and documents constituting work product.

6. THIRD PARTY DISCOVERY

6.1 In the event that any third party shall be called upon, by subpoena or otherwise, to provide or produce Protected Material by such third party, such third party may elect to have its information treated in accordance with the terms of this Order by so notifying counsel for all parties in writing. Upon service of such notice, such third party may designate documents and information as CONFIDENTIAL in the manner set forth in this Order, and such third party's designated information shall be protected in the same manner as that of the parties to this action.

7. INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE

7.1 If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it deems CONFIDENTIAL without designating it pursuant to this Order, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material properly marked with the appropriate confidentiality designation. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly marked from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unmarked version of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the confidentiality designation of the late-marked material.

7.2 The inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed or as to any other related information. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure

so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned. The receiving party shall return (or destroy) all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five business days of receipt of the request. Any notes or summaries referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party returning the inadvertently produced material from seeking an order compelling the production of information previously produced inadvertently.

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

8.1 If Protected Material in the possession of any receiving party is subpoenaed by any court, by any administrative or legislative body, or by any other person purporting to have authority to subpoena such information, or is the subject of any discovery request under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any comparable rule of court or of any adjudicative body (such subpoena or discovery request collectively referred to as a "Third Party Request"), the party to whom the Third Party Request is directed will not produce such information without first giving prompt written notice (including a copy of the Third Party Request) to the attorneys of record for the producing party, no more than three business days after receiving the Third Party Request. The party receiving the Third Party Request must also promptly inform in writing the party who caused the Third Party Request to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the Third Party Request is subject to this Order. The party receiving the Third Party Request must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the Third Party Request to issue.

8.2 The producing party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in court of its own Protected Material, and nothing in this Order should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a party receiving a Third Party Request in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. Disclosure of information in response to a properly issued Third Party Request shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Upon receipt of such a Third Party Request, however, the receiving party must promptly notify, in writing, the producing party of the Third Party Request.

8.3 This Order may be modified only by further agreement of the parties or their counsel, and is without prejudice to the rights of any party to move for relief from any of its provisions, or to seek or agree to different or additional protection for any particular material or information.

8.4 Treatment by counsel or the parties of information designated CONFIDENTIAL shall not be construed as an admission by any party that the designated information contains confidential information. Conversely, failure to so designate shall not constitute a waiver of any party's claims, either within or outside this action, that any such documents or information do contain confidential information.

8.5 No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of any designation, and failure to challenge a claim of confidentiality at the time of receipt shall not constitute a waiver of the right to challenge a confidentiality designation at any later time.

SO ORDERED:

JUDGE BARKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

Joshua Adam Engel (0075769)
Anne Tamashasky (0064393)
ENGEL AND MARTIN, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Ste. 101
Mason, OH 45040
(513) 445-9600
(513) 492-8989 (Fax)
engel@engelandmartin.com

FOR DEFENDANT:

John Gerak (#0075431)
Amanda T. Quan (#0086623)
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK
& STEWART, P.C.
127 Public Square
4100 Key Tower
Cleveland, OH 44114
216.241.6100

216.357.4733 (FAX)
john.gerak@ogletree.com
amanda.quan@ogletree.com

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Protective Order in the above-captioned matter, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in matters relating to the Protective Order and understands that the terms of the Protective Order obligate him/her to use documents designated CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such documents or information derived directly therefrom to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Protective Order (or this Agreement) may result in penalties for contempt of Court.

Dated: _____

(Signature)

(Print Name)

(Job Title)

(Home or Business Address)

(Home or Business Address Continued)

From: Quan, Amanda T.
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2022 4:36 PM
To: Joshua Adam Engel
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: RE: Revised Draft Order
Attachments: Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx

Thanks for sending this over, Josh. I appreciate you adding in the intimate information reference from the case you referenced, but this does not include other confidential and personal information that may be contained in the student or employee records requested. I understand the desire not to include overly broad references, and given you deleted my previously proposed language (from my November 18, 2022 draft), I instead included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>. Hopefully this addresses your concern. All of my proposed revisions are redlined for your convenience.

I discussed with CWRU today, and per our discussion yesterday afternoon, CWRU will agree to send FERPA notifications to the three students against whom Plaintiff has pending Title IX complaints in exchange for the notification process outlined in 1.5(c).

Please let me know if we have an agreement – if so, we can get this filed, and CWRU will send FERPA notifications to the two remaining students (as you know a FERPA notification has already been sent to Jane Roe).

I am working on responses to your other email now.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905
amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 4:23 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedekins.com>
Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>
Subject: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.

2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvernant disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).

3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The Court recognizes that disclosure and discovery activity are likely to arise that will require the disclosure of: (1) information protected from disclosure by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, ~~or~~ (2) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities, or (3) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.¹ Good cause exists to protect this information from public disclosure. In the absence of an Order safeguarding the confidentiality of such information, the parties would be hampered in their ability to produce such information.

Accordingly, based upon the agreement of the parties, the parties shall adhere to the following:

1. DESIGNATION OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

1.1 This Order shall govern all documents and other products of discovery obtained by the parties from one another, and from third parties, all information copied or derived therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations thereof, including

¹ If, during the course of discovery in this case, additional categories of confidential or sensitive information that should be protected from public disclosure are requested, the producing party may provide the requesting party with written notice of its or his intent to treat such information as confidential. Until such time as the parties agree to an amendment or modification of this Order and the Court enters the stipulated amendment/modification, or, if the parties are unable to agree to an amendment or modification, then until such time as the Court rules on the matter, the parties agree to treat the additional categories of confidential or sensitive information as Protected Material under the terms of this Order. Nothing in this paragraph should delay the production of any confidential or sensitive information, however.

Commented [QAT1]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

documents produced pursuant to requests authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, answers to interrogatories, deposition transcripts, responses to requests for admission, affidavits, declarations, expert reports, and other such material and information as may be produced during the course of this litigation.

1.2 In connection with discovery proceedings in this action, any party (or third party producing documents in this case) may designate any non-public document, material, or information as “CONFIDENTIAL” (referred to as “Protected Material”) that the party reasonably and in good faith believes to contain: (a) confidential information protected from disclosure by FERPA; ~~or~~ (b) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities; or (c) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.

1.3 Any documents or things produced pursuant to a discovery request or other written materials exchanged by the parties (including discovery responses, letters, and briefs) that a party desires to designate as Protected Material shall be so designated by marking each page of the document, paper or thing CONFIDENTIAL and indicating the identity of the producing party (e.g., through the use of an identifying prefix to the document identification (Bates) number).

1.4 Whenever a deposition involves a disclosure of Protected Material, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) Any party may designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other parties on the record during the deposition. The Court Reporter shall be asked to make the appropriate confidentiality designation on each page of the transcript that contains CONFIDENTIAL information. At that time, all persons not qualified to receive that category of information shall leave the room prior to continuation of the deposition and until the conclusion of such designated testimony; and

(b) Any party may also designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other party in writing within ten days of receipt of the transcript. In such event, the parties shall confer as to the most convenient way to segregate the designated portions of the transcript. All information disclosed at a deposition and all information contained in deposition transcripts shall be treated as

Commented [QAT2]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about other confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

CONFIDENTIAL for a period of ten days after the receipt of the transcript to permit adequate time for review of the transcript and notice to other counsel regarding any designation as Protected Material by a designating party.

1.5 Whenever any discovery request requires Defendant to produce Protected Materials documents or other information containing Personally Identifiable Information (as that term is defined in 34 CFR § 99.3) of students, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) The parties agree that, in compliance with FERPA, the Personally Identifiable Information of any students will be redacted prior to production in discovery in this case. ~~The producing parties shall, upon request, provide to the receiving party the identity of the students whose Personally Identifiable Information was redacted.~~

Documents or other information produced pursuant to this paragraph shall not be considered to be CONFIDENTIAL, unless appropriately designated as such in accordance with this Order.

(b) If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing the Personally Identifiable Information of any students, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material with the Personally Identifiable Information of students redacted. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly redacted from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unredacted versions of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the redactions of the late-marked material.

(c) Plaintiff may request that Defendant produce an unredacted copy of any materials produced in accordance with ~~the prior section~~ Paragraph 1.5(a). If there is a justifiable need for an unredacted copy of a document containing Personally Identifiable Information of a student that occurs, prior to the production of any such unredacted Protected Material, Defendant shall make a reasonable effort to notify the student or the student's parent of the request for the production of the Protected Material as required by 34 CFR § 99.31(a)(9)(ii). After seven days, if no protective action has been sought by the parent or student, Defendant shall produce the unredacted Protected

Commented [QAT3]: As we previously discussed, this would completely undo the rest of this paragraph. This is addressed below (with a process for obtaining this information) so I assume this was mistakenly left in.

Material. The parties agree that this Paragraph 1.5(b)(c) is not intended to limit Defendant's rights under FERPA or otherwise expand Defendant's obligations under FERPA.

(d) The Court Orders the Parties to comply with all discovery obligations in this case subject to any objections permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; accordingly, all discovery requests shall also be considered to be pursuant to a "judicial order" as that term is used in 34 CFR 99.31(a)(9)(i) for this limited FERPA purpose. Nothing in this paragraph limits or alters a party's ability to object to any requested discovery or to seek assistance from the Court in connection with the scope of the requested discovery.

2. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

2.1 Protected Material, and all summaries, compilations, and derivations thereof, whether oral or written, shall be maintained in confidence, shall be used solely in the preparation, prosecution, or trial of this action (including any appeals) and not for any other purpose, and shall be disclosed only as provided in the following paragraphs.

2.2 Protected Material may be disclosed only to:

- (a) Any party or employee of a party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and to the extent the party's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed to the party, or employee of a party, so he/she can make informed decisions regarding this pending litigation.
- (b) The attorneys of record and their employees or outsourced personnel who are engaged in assisting in this action;
- (c) Any Independent consultants or experts retained by the party or its attorneys in connection with this action;
- (d) The Court and its personnel;
- (e) Court reporters and their personnel engaged in proceedings incident to preparation for trial or engaged in trial;
- (f) The jury, in the event this case proceeds to trial;
- (g) Any claims representative(s) for any party's insurer;
- (h) Professional vendors and their employees, including copy services, trial graphics services, and translation services, engaged by counsel;

- (i) Any person who is indicated on the face of a document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient of the document, or the original source of the information;
- (j) Any other person as to whom the producing party agrees in writing; and
- (k) The parents of Plaintiff, to the extent Plaintiff's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed so Plaintiff can make informed decisions regarding the pending litigation.

2.3 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions, this Order has no effect upon, and its scope shall not extend to, any party's use of its own Confidential Material.

2.4 Prior to receiving any Protected Material, any persons described in sections (c), (e), (h), and (j) of paragraph 2.2 shall be furnished with a copy of this Order and must sign the Agreement to be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order (the "Agreement") attached to this Order. A copy of the signed Agreement shall be maintained by counsel for the party providing such access.

2.5 All persons in possession of or with access to Protected Material shall exercise reasonable and appropriate care with regard to the storage, custody, and use of such information in order to ensure that the provisions of this Order are observed and the confidential nature of the information is maintained.

2.6 Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party from introducing Protected Material into evidence at any evidentiary hearing or at trial or from using any Protected Material during a deposition.

3. CHALLENGES TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

3.1 Any party believing that particular information has been improperly marked, i.e., that it is not in fact CONFIDENTIAL, may challenge such designation at any time by raising the issue, in writing to the designating party, and specifically identifying, by document identification (Bates) number, by deposition page and line, or by other appropriate specific identifier, the information whose confidentiality status is challenged. Within five business days of receipt of such writing, the designating party shall either remove the designation, or respond that it has reviewed the matter and continues to maintain the designation in good faith.

3.2 The parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve any such dispute without calling upon the intervention of the Court. If the designating party maintains its designation and the parties are unable to reach agreement, the challenging party may bring the issue to the Court. The party asserting confidentiality shall have the burden of establishing the appropriateness

of the designation, except that a party claiming that information designated by the other as confidential is in the public domain shall have the burden of proving such public knowledge.

3.3 Challenged information shall be treated as designated until the resolution of the dispute by the parties or ruling by the Court.

4. FILING OF PROTECTED MATERIAL AND USE AT TRIAL/HEARINGS

4.1 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or other court filing references or contains any Protected Material, the party shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to seeking leave to file the document under seal. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. Where redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the document under seal.

4.3 If any party intends to introduce or refer to Protected Material at any hearing or trial, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to introducing or referring to the Protected Material. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. However, if redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall first notify the producing/designating party and provide that party with an opportunity to object and/or to ask the Court to take appropriate precautionary procedures.

4.3 Nothing in this Order shall authorize the filing of a document under seal.

4.4 Nothing in this Order shall prevent any counsel of record from utilizing Protected Material in the examination of any person who is reasonably alleged to be the author or source of the Protected Material or who is reasonably believed to have knowledge relating thereto.

4.5 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing references or contains any material that is not Protected Material, but the disclosure of which would result in the identification of any current or former CWRU student (including, but not limited to, Plaintiff or Jane Roe), the filing party shall redact any personally identifying information regarding the current or former CWRU student, unless impracticable. Where redaction of personally identifying information is not possible or adequate to protect the identity of the current or former CWRU student, a party shall seek leave of the Court to

file the brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing under seal.

5. TERMINATION OF LITIGATION

5.1 The obligations of this Order shall survive the termination of the action and continue to bind the parties. Within sixty days after termination of this action by judgment, settlement, or otherwise from which no appeal can be brought, each party shall destroy all documents containing or disclosing Protected Material of any other party. Each party's outside litigation counsel shall have the right to retain in accordance with its document retention practices, in a secure location, electronic copies of Protected Material, including copies of any pleadings, motions, memoranda, documents, papers filed with the Court, deposition transcripts and exhibits; and documents constituting work product.

6. THIRD PARTY DISCOVERY

6.1 In the event that any third party shall be called upon, by subpoena or otherwise, to provide or produce Protected Material by such third party, such third party may elect to have its information treated in accordance with the terms of this Order by so notifying counsel for all parties in writing. Upon service of such notice, such third party may designate documents and information as CONFIDENTIAL in the manner set forth in this Order, and such third party's designated information shall be protected in the same manner as that of the parties to this action.

7. INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE

7.1 If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it deems CONFIDENTIAL without designating it pursuant to this Order, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material properly marked with the appropriate confidentiality designation. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly marked from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unmarked version of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the confidentiality designation of the late-marked material.

7.2 The inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed or as to any other related information. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned. The receiving party shall return (or destroy) all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five business days of receipt of the request. Any notes or summaries referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party returning the inadvertently produced material from seeking an order compelling the production of information previously produced inadvertently.

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

8.1 If Protected Material in the possession of any receiving party is subpoenaed by any court, by any administrative or legislative body, or by any other person purporting to have authority to subpoena such information, or is the subject of any discovery request under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any comparable rule of court or of any adjudicative body (such subpoena or discovery request collectively referred to as a "Third Party Request"), the party to whom the Third Party Request is directed will not produce such information without first giving prompt written notice (including a copy of the Third Party Request) to the attorneys of record for the producing party, no more than three business days after receiving the Third Party Request. The party receiving the Third Party Request must also promptly inform in writing the party who caused the Third Party Request to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the Third Party Request is subject to this Order. The party receiving the Third Party Request must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the Third Party Request to issue.

8.2 The producing party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in court of its own Protected Material, and nothing in this Order should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a party receiving a Third Party Request in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. Disclosure of information in response to a properly issued Third Party Request shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Upon receipt of such a Third Party

Request, however, the receiving party must promptly notify, in writing, the producing party of the Third Party Request.

8.3 This Order may be modified only by further agreement of the parties or their counsel, and is without prejudice to the rights of any party to move for relief from any of its provisions, or to seek or agree to different or additional protection for any particular material or information.

8.4 Treatment by counsel or the parties of information designated CONFIDENTIAL shall not be construed as an admission by any party that the designated information contains confidential information. Conversely, failure to so designate shall not constitute a waiver of any party's claims, either within or outside this action, that any such documents or information do contain confidential information.

8.5 No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of any designation, and failure to challenge a claim of confidentiality at the time of receipt shall not constitute a waiver of the right to challenge a confidentiality designation at any later time.

SO ORDERED:

JUDGE BARKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

Joshua Adam Engel (0075769)
Anne Tamashasky (0064393)
ENGEL AND MARTIN, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Ste. 101
Mason, OH 45040
(513) 445-9600
(513) 492-8989 (Fax)
engel@engelandmartin.com

FOR DEFENDANT:

John Gerak (#0075431)
Amanda T. Quan (#0086623)
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK
& STEWART, P.C.
127 Public Square
4100 Key Tower
Cleveland, OH 44114
216.241.6100
216.357.4733 (FAX)
john.gerak@ogletree.com
amanda.quan@ogletree.com

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Protective Order in the above-captioned matter, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in matters relating to the Protective Order and understands that the terms of the Protective Order obligate him/her to use documents designated CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such documents or information derived directly therefrom to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Protective Order (or this Agreement) may result in penalties for contempt of Court.

Dated: _____

(Signature)

(Print Name)

(Job Title)

(Home or Business Address)

(Home or Business Address Continued)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 08, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T.
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order
Attachments: Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx

[Caution: Email received from external source]

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 8, 2022, at 4:36 PM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Thanks for sending this over, Josh. I appreciate you adding in the intimate information reference from the case you referenced, but this does not include other confidential and personal information that may be contained in the student or employee records requested. I understand the desire not to include overly broad references, and given you deleted my previously proposed language (from my November 18, 2022 draft), I instead included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>. Hopefully this addresses your concern. All of my proposed revisions are redlined for your convenience.

I discussed with CWRU today, and per our discussion yesterday afternoon, CWRU will agree to send FERPA notifications to the three students against whom Plaintiff has pending Title IX complaints in exchange for the notification process outlined in 1.5(c).

Please let me know if we have an agreement – if so, we can get this filed, and CWRU will send FERPA notifications to the two remaining students (as you know a FERPA notification has already been sent to Jane Roe).

I am working on responses to your other email now.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905

amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 4:23 PM

To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedekins.com>

Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>

Subject: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

- 1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.
- 2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvernant disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).
- 3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The Court recognizes that disclosure and discovery activity are likely to arise that will require the disclosure of: (1) information protected from disclosure by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, ~~or~~ (2) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities, or (3) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.¹ Good cause exists to protect this information from public disclosure. In the absence of an Order safeguarding the confidentiality of such information, the parties would be hampered in their ability to produce such information.

Accordingly, based upon the agreement of the parties, the parties shall adhere to the following:

1. DESIGNATION OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

1.1 This Order shall govern all documents and other products of discovery obtained by the parties from one another, and from third parties, all information copied or derived therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations thereof, including

¹ If, during the course of discovery in this case, additional categories of confidential or sensitive information that should be protected from public disclosure are requested, the producing party may provide the requesting party with written notice of its or his intent to treat such information as confidential. Until such time as the parties agree to an amendment or modification of this Order and the Court enters the stipulated amendment/modification, or, if the parties are unable to agree to an amendment or modification, then until such time as the Court rules on the matter, the parties agree to treat the additional categories of confidential or sensitive information as Protected Material under the terms of this Order. Nothing in this paragraph should delay the production of any confidential or sensitive information, however.

Commented [QAT1]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

documents produced pursuant to requests authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, answers to interrogatories, deposition transcripts, responses to requests for admission, affidavits, declarations, expert reports, and other such material and information as may be produced during the course of this litigation.

1.2 In connection with discovery proceedings in this action, any party (or third party producing documents in this case) may designate any non-public document, material, or information as “CONFIDENTIAL” (referred to as “Protected Material”) that the party reasonably and in good faith believes to contain: (a) confidential information protected from disclosure by FERPA; ~~or~~ (b) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities; or (c) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.

1.3 Any documents or things produced pursuant to a discovery request or other written materials exchanged by the parties (including discovery responses, letters, and briefs) that a party desires to designate as Protected Material shall be so designated by marking each page of the document, paper or thing CONFIDENTIAL and indicating the identity of the producing party (e.g., through the use of an identifying prefix to the document identification (Bates) number).

1.4 Whenever a deposition involves a disclosure of Protected Material, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) Any party may designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other parties on the record during the deposition. The Court Reporter shall be asked to make the appropriate confidentiality designation on each page of the transcript that contains CONFIDENTIAL information. At that time, all persons not qualified to receive that category of information shall leave the room prior to continuation of the deposition and until the conclusion of such designated testimony; and

(b) Any party may also designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other party in writing within ten days of receipt of the transcript. In such event, the parties shall confer as to the most convenient way to segregate the designated portions of the transcript. All information disclosed at a deposition and all information contained in deposition transcripts shall be treated as

Commented [QAT2]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about other confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

CONFIDENTIAL for a period of ten days after the receipt of the transcript to permit adequate time for review of the transcript and notice to other counsel regarding any designation as Protected Material by a designating party.

1.5 Whenever any discovery request requires Defendant to produce Protected Materials documents or other information containing Personally Identifiable Information (as that term is defined in 34 CFR § 99.3) of students, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) The parties agree that, in compliance with FERPA, the Personally Identifiable Information of any students will be redacted prior to production in discovery in this case. The producing parties shall, upon request, provide to the receiving party the identity of the students whose Personally Identifiable Information was redacted. this information shall be kept confidential under the terms of this Order. Documents or other information produced pursuant to this paragraph shall not be considered to be CONFIDENTIAL, unless appropriately designated as such in accordance with this Order.

(b) If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing the Personally Identifiable Information of any students, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material with the Personally Identifiable Information of students redacted. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly redacted from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unredacted versions of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the redactions of the late-marked material.

(c) Plaintiff may request that Defendant produce an unredacted copy of any materials produced in accordance with ~~the prior section~~ Paragraph 1.5(a). If that occurs, ~~P~~prior to the production of any such unredacted Protected Material, Defendant shall make a reasonable effort to notify the student or the student's parent of the request for the production of the Protected Material as required by 34 CFR § 99.31(a)(9)(ii). After seven days, if no protective action has been sought by the parent or student, Defendant shall produce the unredacted Protected Material. The parties agree that this Paragraph

Commented [QAT3]: As we previously discussed, this would completely undo the rest of this paragraph. This is addressed below (with a process for obtaining this information) so I assume this was mistakenly left in.

Commented [QAT4]: As we previously discussed, this would completely undo the rest of this paragraph. This is addressed below (with a process for obtaining this information) so I assume this was mistakenly left in.

1.5(b)(c) is not intended to limit Defendant's rights under FERPA or otherwise expand Defendant's obligations under FERPA.

(d) The Court Orders the Parties to comply with all discovery obligations in this case subject to any objections permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; accordingly, all discovery requests shall also be considered to be pursuant to a "judicial order" as that term is used in 34 CFR 99.31(a)(9)(i) for this limited FERPA purpose. Nothing in this paragraph limits or alters a party's ability to object to any requested discovery or to seek assistance from the Court in connection with the scope of the requested discovery.

2. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

2.1 Protected Material, and all summaries, compilations, and derivations thereof, whether oral or written, shall be maintained in confidence, shall be used solely in the preparation, prosecution, or trial of this action (including any appeals) and not for any other purpose, and shall be disclosed only as provided in the following paragraphs.

2.2 Protected Material may be disclosed only to:

- (a) Any party or employee of a party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and to the extent the party's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed to the party, or employee of a party, so he/she can make informed decisions regarding this pending litigation.
- (b) The attorneys of record and their employees or outsourced personnel who are engaged in assisting in this action;
- (c) Any Independent consultants or experts retained by the party or its attorneys in connection with this action;
- (d) The Court and its personnel;
- (e) Court reporters and their personnel engaged in proceedings incident to preparation for trial or engaged in trial;
- (f) The jury, in the event this case proceeds to trial;
- (g) Any claims representative(s) for any party's insurer;
- (h) Professional vendors and their employees, including copy services, trial graphics services, and translation services, engaged by counsel;
- (i) Any person who is indicated on the face of a document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient of the document, or the original source of the information;

(j) Any other person as to whom the producing party agrees in writing; and

(k) The parents of Plaintiff, to the extent Plaintiff's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed so Plaintiff can make informed decisions regarding the pending litigation.

2.3 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions, this Order has no effect upon, and its scope shall not extend to, any party's use of its own Confidential Material.

2.4 Prior to receiving any Protected Material, any persons described in sections (c), (e), (h), and (j) of paragraph 2.2 shall be furnished with a copy of this Order and must sign the Agreement to be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order (the "Agreement") attached to this Order. A copy of the signed Agreement shall be maintained by counsel for the party providing such access.

2.5 All persons in possession of or with access to Protected Material shall exercise reasonable and appropriate care with regard to the storage, custody, and use of such information in order to ensure that the provisions of this Order are observed and the confidential nature of the information is maintained.

2.6 Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party from introducing Protected Material into evidence at any evidentiary hearing or at trial or from using any Protected Material during a deposition.

3. CHALLENGES TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

3.1 Any party believing that particular information has been improperly marked, i.e., that it is not in fact CONFIDENTIAL, may challenge such designation at any time by raising the issue, in writing to the designating party, and specifically identifying, by document identification (Bates) number, by deposition page and line, or by other appropriate specific identifier, the information whose confidentiality status is challenged. Within five business days of receipt of such writing, the designating party shall either remove the designation, or respond that it has reviewed the matter and continues to maintain the designation in good faith.

3.2 The parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve any such dispute without calling upon the intervention of the Court. If the designating party maintains its designation and the parties are unable to reach agreement, the challenging party may bring the issue to the Court. The party asserting confidentiality shall have the burden of establishing the appropriateness of the designation, except that a party claiming that information designated by the other as confidential is in the public domain shall have the burden of proving such public knowledge.

3.3 Challenged information shall be treated as designated until the resolution of the dispute by the parties or ruling by the Court.

4. FILING OF PROTECTED MATERIAL AND USE AT TRIAL/HEARINGS

4.1 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or other court filing references or contains any Protected Material, the party shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to seeking leave to file the document under seal. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. Where redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the document under seal.

4.3 If any party intends to introduce or refer to Protected Material at any hearing or trial, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to introducing or referring to the Protected Material. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. However, if redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall first notify the producing/designating party and provide that party with an opportunity to object and/or to ask the Court to take appropriate precautionary procedures.

4.3 Nothing in this Order shall authorize the filing of a document under seal.

4.4 Nothing in this Order shall prevent any counsel of record from utilizing Protected Material in the examination of any person who is reasonably alleged to be the author or source of the Protected Material or who is reasonably believed to have knowledge relating thereto.

4.5 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing references or contains any material that is not Protected Material, but the disclosure of which would result in the identification of any current or former CWRU student (including, but not limited to, Plaintiff or Jane Roe), the filing party shall redact any personally identifying information regarding the current or former CWRU student, unless impracticable. Where redaction of personally identifying information is not possible or adequate to protect the identity of the current or former CWRU student, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing under seal.

5. TERMINATION OF LITIGATION

5.1 The obligations of this Order shall survive the termination of the action and continue to bind the parties. Within sixty days after termination of this action by judgment, settlement, or otherwise from which no appeal can be brought, each party shall destroy all documents containing or disclosing Protected Material of any other party. Each party's outside litigation counsel shall have the right to retain in accordance with its document retention practices, in a secure location, electronic copies of Protected Material, including copies of any pleadings, motions, memoranda, documents, papers filed with the Court, deposition transcripts and exhibits; and documents constituting work product.

6. THIRD PARTY DISCOVERY

6.1 In the event that any third party shall be called upon, by subpoena or otherwise, to provide or produce Protected Material by such third party, such third party may elect to have its information treated in accordance with the terms of this Order by so notifying counsel for all parties in writing. Upon service of such notice, such third party may designate documents and information as CONFIDENTIAL in the manner set forth in this Order, and such third party's designated information shall be protected in the same manner as that of the parties to this action.

7. INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE

7.1 If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it deems CONFIDENTIAL without designating it pursuant to this Order, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material properly marked with the appropriate confidentiality designation. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly marked from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unmarked version of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the confidentiality designation of the late-marked material.

7.2 The inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific

information disclosed or as to any other related information. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned. The receiving party shall return (or destroy) all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five business days of receipt of the request. Any notes or summaries referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party returning the inadvertently produced material from seeking an order compelling the production of information previously produced inadvertently.

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

8.1 If Protected Material in the possession of any receiving party is subpoenaed by any court, by any administrative or legislative body, or by any other person purporting to have authority to subpoena such information, or is the subject of any discovery request under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any comparable rule of court or of any adjudicative body (such subpoena or discovery request collectively referred to as a "Third Party Request"), the party to whom the Third Party Request is directed will not produce such information without first giving prompt written notice (including a copy of the Third Party Request) to the attorneys of record for the producing party, no more than three business days after receiving the Third Party Request. The party receiving the Third Party Request must also promptly inform in writing the party who caused the Third Party Request to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the Third Party Request is subject to this Order. The party receiving the Third Party Request must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the Third Party Request to issue.

8.2 The producing party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in court of its own Protected Material, and nothing in this Order should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a party receiving a Third Party Request in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. Disclosure of information in response to a properly issued Third Party Request shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Upon receipt of such a Third Party Request, however, the receiving party must promptly notify, in writing, the producing party of the Third Party Request.

8.3 This Order may be modified only by further agreement of the parties or their counsel, and is without prejudice to the rights of any party to move for relief from any of its provisions, or to seek or agree to different or additional protection for any particular material or information.

8.4 Treatment by counsel or the parties of information designated CONFIDENTIAL shall not be construed as an admission by any party that the designated information contains confidential information. Conversely, failure to so designate shall not constitute a waiver of any party's claims, either within or outside this action, that any such documents or information do contain confidential information.

8.5 No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of any designation, and failure to challenge a claim of confidentiality at the time of receipt shall not constitute a waiver of the right to challenge a confidentiality designation at any later time.

SO ORDERED:

JUDGE BARKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

Joshua Adam Engel (0075769)
Anne Tamashasky (0064393)
ENGEL AND MARTIN, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Ste. 101
Mason, OH 45040
(513) 445-9600
(513) 492-8989 (Fax)
engel@engelandmartin.com

FOR DEFENDANT:

John Gerak (#0075431)
Amanda T. Quan (#0086623)

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK
& STEWART, P.C.
127 Public Square
4100 Key Tower
Cleveland, OH 44114
216.241.6100
216.357.4733 (FAX)
john.gerak@ogletree.com
amanda.quan@ogletree.com

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Protective Order in the above-captioned matter, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in matters relating to the Protective Order and understands that the terms of the Protective Order obligate him/her to use documents designated CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such documents or information derived directly therefrom to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Protective Order (or this Agreement) may result in penalties for contempt of Court.

Dated: _____

(Signature)

(Print Name)

(Job Title)

(Home or Business Address)

(Home or Business Address Continued)

From: Quan, Amanda T.
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2022 11:39 AM
To: Joshua Adam Engel
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: RE: Revised Draft Order
Attachments: Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022 (006).docx

Good morning, Josh,

Thanks for sending back your further proposed edits. I had a chance to review and consider the additional proposal. I see that in 1.5(a), which addresses that we will produce documents with students' personally identifiable information redacted, you added the provision that upon request, CWRU would need to identify the students whose personally identifiable information was redacted. We believe this is in violation of FERPA, specifically, 34 CFR 99.31(b)(1), which provides, in relevant part: "*De-identified records and information.* An educational agency or institution, or a party that has received education records or information from education records under this part, may release the records or information without the consent required by § 99.30 after the removal of all personally identifiable information provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student's identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information." This is why I indicated in a comment in the draft that I circulated to you yesterday afternoon that, should certain student identities be required, we could handle under section 1.5(c), which allows for Plaintiff to request this information and for Defendant to make appropriate FERPA notifications to students. Section 1.5(c) seems to provide Plaintiff with the mechanism to obtain the information he desires without requiring that CWRU violate FERPA.

I also see that in section 1.5(c), you deleted the language I had in there providing that if there is a justifiable need for an unredacted copy, CWRU would then move forward with FERPA notifications. This language is necessary because of the provisions you included in section 1.5(d). If we are to agree to your language in section 1.5(d), we will need some language clarifying that there must be some justifiable need or reason to compel the disclosure, or else it would effectively give Plaintiff unlimited access to any student's personally identifiable information in violation of FERPA. If Plaintiff prefers, we can remove section 1.5(d) and instead proceed with the process of obtaining a court order relative to any requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information (pursuant to which CWRU can then issue FERPA notifications).

Attached are further revisions based on the above. I believe we have an agreement on all but section 1.5. If you'd prefer to remove 1.5(d) and instead proceed with obtaining a court order relative to requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information, please let me know and we can revise the process outlined in 1.5 accordingly.

Please let me know if you'd like to discuss further. I am available until 12 p.m. or after 2 p.m. today.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905
amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeakins.com>
Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>
Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 8, 2022, at 4:36 PM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Thanks for sending this over, Josh. I appreciate you adding in the intimate information reference from the case you referenced, but this does not include other confidential and personal information that may be contained in the student or employee records requested. I understand the desire not to include overly broad references, and given you deleted my previously proposed language (from my November 18, 2022 draft), I instead included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>. Hopefully this addresses your concern. All of my proposed revisions are redlined for your convenience.

I discussed with CWRU today, and per our discussion yesterday afternoon, CWRU will agree to send FERPA notifications to the three students against whom Plaintiff has pending Title IX complaints in exchange for the notification process outlined in 1.5(c).

Please let me know if we have an agreement – if so, we can get this filed, and CWRU will send FERPA notifications to the two remaining students (as you know a FERPA notification has already been sent to Jane Roe).

I am working on responses to your other email now.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905

amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 4:23 PM

To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeaikins.com>

Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>

Subject: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

- 1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.
- 2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvernant disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).
- 3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The Court recognizes that disclosure and discovery activity are likely to arise that will require the disclosure of: (1) information protected from disclosure by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, ~~or~~ (2) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities, or (3) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.¹ Good cause exists to protect this information from public disclosure. In the absence of an Order safeguarding the confidentiality of such information, the parties would be hampered in their ability to produce such information.

Accordingly, based upon the agreement of the parties, the parties shall adhere to the following:

1. DESIGNATION OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

1.1 This Order shall govern all documents and other products of discovery obtained by the parties from one another, and from third parties, all information copied or derived therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries or compilations thereof, including

¹ If, during the course of discovery in this case, additional categories of confidential or sensitive information that should be protected from public disclosure are requested, the producing party may provide the requesting party with written notice of its or his intent to treat such information as confidential. Until such time as the parties agree to an amendment or modification of this Order and the Court enters the stipulated amendment/modification, or, if the parties are unable to agree to an amendment or modification, then until such time as the Court rules on the matter, the parties agree to treat the additional categories of confidential or sensitive information as Protected Material under the terms of this Order. Nothing in this paragraph should delay the production of any confidential or sensitive information, however.

Commented [QAT1]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

documents produced pursuant to requests authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, answers to interrogatories, deposition transcripts, responses to requests for admission, affidavits, declarations, expert reports, and other such material and information as may be produced during the course of this litigation.

1.2 In connection with discovery proceedings in this action, any party (or third party producing documents in this case) may designate any non-public document, material, or information as “CONFIDENTIAL” (referred to as “Protected Material”) that the party reasonably and in good faith believes to contain: (a) confidential information protected from disclosure by FERPA; ~~or~~ (b) information involving intimate issues such as sexual activities; or (c) information protected from disclosure by statute or that should be protected from disclosure as confidential personal information, medical or psychiatric information or personnel records.

1.3 Any documents or things produced pursuant to a discovery request or other written materials exchanged by the parties (including discovery responses, letters, and briefs) that a party desires to designate as Protected Material shall be so designated by marking each page of the document, paper or thing CONFIDENTIAL and indicating the identity of the producing party (e.g., through the use of an identifying prefix to the document identification (Bates) number).

1.4 Whenever a deposition involves a disclosure of Protected Material, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) Any party may designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other parties on the record during the deposition. The Court Reporter shall be asked to make the appropriate confidentiality designation on each page of the transcript that contains CONFIDENTIAL information. At that time, all persons not qualified to receive that category of information shall leave the room prior to continuation of the deposition and until the conclusion of such designated testimony; and

(b) Any party may also designate any portion or all of a deposition as CONFIDENTIAL by notifying the other party in writing within ten days of receipt of the transcript. In such event, the parties shall confer as to the most convenient way to segregate the designated portions of the transcript. All information disclosed at a deposition and all information contained in deposition transcripts shall be treated as

Commented [QAT2]: Thanks for sending over your proposed revisions following our discussion. You included language that addresses the intimate sexual information, but did not otherwise address my proposed language about other confidential and personal information. To address this piece, I included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>.

CONFIDENTIAL for a period of ten days after the receipt of the transcript to permit adequate time for review of the transcript and notice to other counsel regarding any designation as Protected Material by a designating party.

1.5 Whenever any discovery request requires Defendant to produce Protected Materials documents or other information containing Personally Identifiable Information (as that term is defined in 34 CFR § 99.3) of students, the following procedures shall apply:

(a) The parties agree that, in compliance with FERPA, the Personally Identifiable Information of any students will be redacted prior to production in discovery in this case. ~~The producing parties shall, upon request, provide to the receiving party the identity of the students whose Personally Identifiable Information was redacted; this information shall be kept confidential under the terms of this Order.~~ Documents or other information produced pursuant to this paragraph shall not be considered to be CONFIDENTIAL, unless appropriately designated as such in accordance with this Order.

(b) If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing the Personally Identifiable Information of any students, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material with the Personally Identifiable Information of students redacted. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly redacted from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unredacted versions of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the redactions of the late-marked material.

(c) Plaintiff may request that Defendant produce an unredacted copy of any materials produced in accordance with ~~the prior section~~ Paragraph 1.5(a). ~~If that occurs there is a justifiable need for an unredacted copy of a document containing Personally Identifiable Information of a student.~~ Prior to the production of any such unredacted Protected Material, Defendant shall make a reasonable effort to notify the student or the student's parent of the request for the production of the Protected Material as required by 34 CFR § 99.31(a)(9)(ii). After seven days, if no protective action has been

Commented [QAT3]: As we previously discussed, this would completely undo the rest of this paragraph. This is addressed below (with a process for obtaining this information).

Commented [QAT4]: We believe this is in violation of FERPA, specifically, 34 CFR 99.31(b)(1), which provides, in relevant part: "De-identified records and information. An educational agency or institution, or a party that has received education records or information from education records under this part, may release the records or information without the consent required by § 99.30 after the removal of all personally identifiable information provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student's identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information." This is why I indicated in the comment above that, should certain student identities be required, we could handle under section 1.5(c), which allows for Plaintiff to request this information and for Defendant to make appropriate FERPA notifications to students. Section 1.5(c) seems to provide Plaintiff with the mechanism to obtain the information he desires without requiring that CWRU violate FERPA.

Commented [QAT5]: This language is necessary because of the provisions you included in section 1.5(d). If we are to agree to your language in section 1.5(d), we will need some language clarifying that there must be some justifiable need or reason to compel the disclosure, or else it would effectively give Plaintiff unlimited access to any student's personally identifiable information in violation of FERPA. If Plaintiff prefers, we can remove section 1.5(d) and instead proceed with the process of obtaining a court order relative to any requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information (pursuant to which CWRU can then issue FERPA notifications).

sought by the parent or student, Defendant shall produce the unredacted Protected Material. The parties agree that this Paragraph 1.5(b) is not intended to limit Defendant's rights under FERPA or otherwise expand Defendant's obligations under FERPA.

(d) The Court Orders the Parties to comply with all discovery obligations in this case subject to any objections permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; accordingly, all discovery requests shall also be considered to be pursuant to a "judicial order" as that term is used in 34 CFR 99.31(a)(9)(i) for this limited FERPA purpose. Nothing in this paragraph limits or alters a party's ability to object to any requested discovery or to seek assistance from the Court in connection with the scope of the requested discovery.

2. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

2.1 Protected Material, and all summaries, compilations, and derivations thereof, whether oral or written, shall be maintained in confidence, shall be used solely in the preparation, prosecution, or trial of this action (including any appeals) and not for any other purpose, and shall be disclosed only as provided in the following paragraphs.

2.2 Protected Material may be disclosed only to:

- (a) Any party or employee of a party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and to the extent the party's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed to the party, or employee of a party, so he/she can make informed decisions regarding this pending litigation.
- (b) The attorneys of record and their employees or outsourced personnel who are engaged in assisting in this action;
- (c) Any Independent consultants or experts retained by the party or its attorneys in connection with this action;
- (d) The Court and its personnel;
- (e) Court reporters and their personnel engaged in proceedings incident to preparation for trial or engaged in trial;
- (f) The jury, in the event this case proceeds to trial;
- (g) Any claims representative(s) for any party's insurer;
- (h) Professional vendors and their employees, including copy services, trial graphics services, and translation services, engaged by counsel;

- (i) Any person who is indicated on the face of a document to have been an author, addressee, or copy recipient of the document, or the original source of the information;
- (j) Any other person as to whom the producing party agrees in writing; and
- (k) The parents of Plaintiff, to the extent Plaintiff's counsel exercises his/her professional judgment that specific information should be disclosed so Plaintiff can make informed decisions regarding the pending litigation.

2.3 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing provisions, this Order has no effect upon, and its scope shall not extend to, any party's use of its own Confidential Material.

2.4 Prior to receiving any Protected Material, any persons described in sections (c), (e), (h), and (j) of paragraph 2.2 shall be furnished with a copy of this Order and must sign the Agreement to be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order (the "Agreement") attached to this Order. A copy of the signed Agreement shall be maintained by counsel for the party providing such access.

2.5 All persons in possession of or with access to Protected Material shall exercise reasonable and appropriate care with regard to the storage, custody, and use of such information in order to ensure that the provisions of this Order are observed and the confidential nature of the information is maintained.

2.6 Nothing in this Order shall preclude any party from introducing Protected Material into evidence at any evidentiary hearing or at trial or from using any Protected Material during a deposition.

3. CHALLENGES TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

3.1 Any party believing that particular information has been improperly marked, i.e., that it is not in fact CONFIDENTIAL, may challenge such designation at any time by raising the issue, in writing to the designating party, and specifically identifying, by document identification (Bates) number, by deposition page and line, or by other appropriate specific identifier, the information whose confidentiality status is challenged. Within five business days of receipt of such writing, the designating party shall either remove the designation, or respond that it has reviewed the matter and continues to maintain the designation in good faith.

3.2 The parties shall endeavor in good faith to resolve any such dispute without calling upon the intervention of the Court. If the designating party maintains its designation and the parties are unable to reach agreement, the challenging party may bring the issue to the Court. The party asserting confidentiality shall have the burden of establishing the appropriateness

of the designation, except that a party claiming that information designated by the other as confidential is in the public domain shall have the burden of proving such public knowledge.

3.3 Challenged information shall be treated as designated until the resolution of the dispute by the parties or ruling by the Court.

4. FILING OF PROTECTED MATERIAL AND USE AT TRIAL/HEARINGS

4.1 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or other court filing references or contains any Protected Material, the party shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to seeking leave to file the document under seal. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. Where redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, a party shall seek leave of the Court to file the document under seal.

4.3 If any party intends to introduce or refer to Protected Material at any hearing or trial, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall endeavor to redact any Protected Material prior to introducing or referring to the Protected Material. If a document or portion of a deposition transcript has been designated as Protected Material because it contains information subject to FERPA, a party shall, unless impracticable, comply with this paragraph by redacting any Personally Identifiable Information. However, if redaction of Protected Material is not possible or adequate, the party wishing to make the disclosure shall first notify the producing/designating party and provide that party with an opportunity to object and/or to ask the Court to take appropriate precautionary procedures.

4.3 Nothing in this Order shall authorize the filing of a document under seal.

4.4 Nothing in this Order shall prevent any counsel of record from utilizing Protected Material in the examination of any person who is reasonably alleged to be the author or source of the Protected Material or who is reasonably believed to have knowledge relating thereto.

4.5 To the extent that a brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing references or contains any material that is not Protected Material, but the disclosure of which would result in the identification of any current or former CWRU student (including, but not limited to, Plaintiff or Jane Roe), the filing party shall redact any personally identifying information regarding the current or former CWRU student, unless impracticable. Where redaction of personally identifying information is not possible or adequate to protect the identity of the current or former CWRU student, a party shall seek leave of the Court to

file the brief, memorandum, pleading, or document, deposition transcript, or other court filing under seal.

5. TERMINATION OF LITIGATION

5.1 The obligations of this Order shall survive the termination of the action and continue to bind the parties. Within sixty days after termination of this action by judgment, settlement, or otherwise from which no appeal can be brought, each party shall destroy all documents containing or disclosing Protected Material of any other party. Each party's outside litigation counsel shall have the right to retain in accordance with its document retention practices, in a secure location, electronic copies of Protected Material, including copies of any pleadings, motions, memoranda, documents, papers filed with the Court, deposition transcripts and exhibits; and documents constituting work product.

6. THIRD PARTY DISCOVERY

6.1 In the event that any third party shall be called upon, by subpoena or otherwise, to provide or produce Protected Material by such third party, such third party may elect to have its information treated in accordance with the terms of this Order by so notifying counsel for all parties in writing. Upon service of such notice, such third party may designate documents and information as CONFIDENTIAL in the manner set forth in this Order, and such third party's designated information shall be protected in the same manner as that of the parties to this action.

7. INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE

7.1 If a party inadvertently discloses any document or thing containing information that it deems CONFIDENTIAL without designating it pursuant to this Order, the disclosing party shall promptly upon discovery of such inadvertent disclosure inform the receiving party in writing, forwarding a replacement copy of the inadvertently disclosed material properly marked with the appropriate confidentiality designation. The receiving party shall thereafter treat the information as if it had been properly marked from the outset and shall make a reasonable effort to retrieve and destroy the unmarked version of the inadvertently disclosed material. Disclosure by the receiving party to unauthorized persons before being notified of the inadvertent disclosure shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from challenging the confidentiality designation of the late-marked material.

7.2 The inadvertent or mistaken production or disclosure of documents or other information subject to the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or other privilege shall not be deemed a waiver of a claim of privilege, either as to the specific information disclosed or as to any other related information. If a producing party inadvertently produces or otherwise discloses to a receiving party information that is subject to such privilege or immunity, the producing party shall promptly upon discovery of such disclosure so advise the receiving party in writing and request that the inadvertently disclosed information be returned. The receiving party shall return (or destroy) all copies of the inadvertently produced material within five business days of receipt of the request. Any notes or summaries referring or relating to any inadvertently produced privileged material shall be destroyed. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party returning the inadvertently produced material from seeking an order compelling the production of information previously produced inadvertently.

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

8.1 If Protected Material in the possession of any receiving party is subpoenaed by any court, by any administrative or legislative body, or by any other person purporting to have authority to subpoena such information, or is the subject of any discovery request under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any comparable rule of court or of any adjudicative body (such subpoena or discovery request collectively referred to as a "Third Party Request"), the party to whom the Third Party Request is directed will not produce such information without first giving prompt written notice (including a copy of the Third Party Request) to the attorneys of record for the producing party, no more than three business days after receiving the Third Party Request. The party receiving the Third Party Request must also promptly inform in writing the party who caused the Third Party Request to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the Third Party Request is subject to this Order. The party receiving the Third Party Request must deliver a copy of this Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the Third Party Request to issue.

8.2 The producing party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in court of its own Protected Material, and nothing in this Order should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a party receiving a Third Party Request in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court. Disclosure of information in response to a properly issued Third Party Request shall not constitute a violation of this Order. Upon receipt of such a Third Party

Request, however, the receiving party must promptly notify, in writing, the producing party of the Third Party Request.

8.3 This Order may be modified only by further agreement of the parties or their counsel, and is without prejudice to the rights of any party to move for relief from any of its provisions, or to seek or agree to different or additional protection for any particular material or information.

8.4 Treatment by counsel or the parties of information designated CONFIDENTIAL shall not be construed as an admission by any party that the designated information contains confidential information. Conversely, failure to so designate shall not constitute a waiver of any party's claims, either within or outside this action, that any such documents or information do contain confidential information.

8.5 No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of any designation, and failure to challenge a claim of confidentiality at the time of receipt shall not constitute a waiver of the right to challenge a confidentiality designation at any later time.

SO ORDERED:

JUDGE BARKER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATED AND AGREED:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

Joshua Adam Engel (0075769)
Anne Tamashasky (0064393)
ENGEL AND MARTIN, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Ste. 101
Mason, OH 45040
(513) 445-9600
(513) 492-8989 (Fax)
engel@engelandmartin.com

FOR DEFENDANT:

John Gerak (#0075431)
Amanda T. Quan (#0086623)
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK
& STEWART, P.C.
127 Public Square
4100 Key Tower
Cleveland, OH 44114
216.241.6100
216.357.4733 (FAX)
john.gerak@ogletree.com
amanda.quan@ogletree.com

EXHIBIT A

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JOHN NOAKES,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE
UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:21-cv-1776-PAB

Judge: BARKER

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she has read the Protective Order in the above-captioned matter, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by its terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in matters relating to the Protective Order and understands that the terms of the Protective Order obligate him/her to use documents designated CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with the Order solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such documents or information derived directly therefrom to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Protective Order (or this Agreement) may result in penalties for contempt of Court.

Dated: _____

(Signature)

(Print Name)

(Job Title)

(Home or Business Address)

(Home or Business Address Continued)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Quan, Amanda T.
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

We are unable to agree to this provisions because they unduly restrict our ability to obtain information about prospective witnesses. CWRU continues to negotiate in bad faith by delaying and intentionally misinterpreting FERPA.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 9, 2022, at 11:38 AM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Good morning, Josh,

Thanks for sending back your further proposed edits. I had a chance to review and consider the additional proposal. I see that in 1.5(a), which addresses that we will produce documents with students' personally identifiable information redacted, you added the provision that upon request, CWRU would need to identify the students whose personally identifiable information was redacted. We believe this is in violation of FERPA, specifically, 34 CFR 99.31(b)(1), which provides, in relevant part: "*De-identified records and information.* An educational agency or institution, or a party that has received education records or information from education records under this part, may release the records or information without the consent required by § 99.30 after the removal of all personally identifiable information provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student's identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information." This is why I indicated in a comment in the draft that I circulated to you yesterday afternoon that, should certain student identities be required, we could handle under section 1.5(c), which allows for Plaintiff to request this information and for Defendant to make appropriate FERPA notifications to students. Section 1.5(c) seems to provide

Plaintiff with the mechanism to obtain the information he desires without requiring that CWRU violate FERPA.

I also see that in section 1.5(c), you deleted the language I had in there providing that if there is a justifiable need for an unredacted copy, CWRU would then move forward with FERPA notifications. This language is necessary because of the provisions you included in section 1.5(d). If we are to agree to your language in section 1.5(d), we will need some language clarifying that there must be some justifiable need or reason to compel the disclosure, or else it would effectively give Plaintiff unlimited access to any student's personally identifiable information in violation of FERPA. If Plaintiff prefers, we can remove section 1.5(d) and instead proceed with the process of obtaining a court order relative to any requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information (pursuant to which CWRU can then issue FERPA notifications).

Attached are further revisions based on the above. I believe we have an agreement on all but section 1.5. If you'd prefer to remove 1.5(d) and instead proceed with obtaining a court order relative to requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information, please let me know and we can revise the process outlined in 1.5 accordingly.

Please let me know if you'd like to discuss further. I am available until 12 p.m. or after 2 p.m. today.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905
amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2022 4:51 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeakins.com>
Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>
Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 8, 2022, at 4:36 PM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Thanks for sending this over, Josh. I appreciate you adding in the intimate information reference from the case you referenced, but this does not include other confidential and personal information that may be contained in the student or employee records requested. I understand the desire not to include overly broad references, and given you deleted my previously proposed language (from my November 18, 2022 draft), I instead included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>. Hopefully this addresses your concern. All of my proposed revisions are redlined for your convenience.

I discussed with CWRU today, and per our discussion yesterday afternoon, CWRU will agree to send FERPA notifications to the three students against whom Plaintiff has pending Title IX complaints in exchange for the notification process outlined in 1.5(c).

Please let me know if we have an agreement – if so, we can get this filed, and CWRU will send FERPA notifications to the two remaining students (as you know a FERPA notification has already been sent to Jane Roe).

I am working on responses to your other email now.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905

amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 4:23 PM

To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeakins.com>

Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>

Subject: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.

2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvertent disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).

3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx>

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022 (006).docx>

From: Quan, Amanda T.
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2022 12:02 PM
To: Joshua Adam Engel
Cc: Jim Hardin
Subject: RE: Revised Draft Order

Josh,

I respectfully disagree. We are attempting to find a compromise that still allows CWRU to comply with its obligations under FERPA.

Since we have an agreement on the entire protective order other than 1.5 addressing CWRU's production of records with students' personally identifiable information, should we go ahead and get that on file (without section 1.5) so that there is at least a protective order in place, for example, to cover records to be produced by Jane Roe, while we figure out the piece about CWRU's production (and we can file a separate protective order to specifically address that piece once we finalize an agreement)? CWRU will continue to produce documents with students' personally identifiable information redacted, as we've been doing thus far in this case. So, unless I'm missing something, I see no reason to hold up filing of the protective order minus section 1.5.

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905
amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Friday, December 9, 2022 11:43 AM
To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeakins.com>
Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>
Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

We are unable to agree to this provisions because they unduly restrict our ability to obtain information about prospective witnesses. CWRU continues to negotiate in bad faith by delaying and intentionally misinterpreting FERPA.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 9, 2022, at 11:38 AM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Good morning, Josh,

Thanks for sending back your further proposed edits. I had a chance to review and consider the additional proposal. I see that in 1.5(a), which addresses that we will produce documents with students' personally identifiable information redacted, you added the provision that upon request, CWRU would need to identify the students whose personally identifiable information was redacted. We believe this is in violation of FERPA, specifically, 34 CFR 99.31(b)(1), which provides, in relevant part: "*De-identified records and information.* An educational agency or institution, or a party that has received education records or information from education records under this part, may release the records or information without the consent required by § 99.30 after the removal of all personally identifiable information provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student's identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information." This is why I indicated in a comment in the draft that I circulated to you yesterday afternoon that, should certain student identities be required, we could handle under section 1.5(c), which allows for Plaintiff to request this information and for Defendant to make appropriate FERPA notifications to students. Section 1.5(c) seems to provide Plaintiff with the mechanism to obtain the information he desires without requiring that CWRU violate FERPA.

I also see that in section 1.5(c), you deleted the language I had in there providing that if there is a justifiable need for an unredacted copy, CWRU would then move forward with FERPA notifications. This language is necessary because of the provisions you included in section 1.5(d). If we are to agree to your language in section 1.5(d), we will need some language clarifying that there must be some justifiable need or reason to compel the disclosure, or else it would effectively give Plaintiff unlimited access to any student's personally identifiable information in violation of FERPA. If Plaintiff prefers, we can remove section 1.5(d) and instead proceed with the process of obtaining a court order relative to any requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information (pursuant to which CWRU can then issue FERPA notifications).

Attached are further revisions based on the above. I believe we have an agreement on all but section 1.5. If you'd prefer to remove 1.5(d) and instead proceed with obtaining a court order relative to requests for documents with students' personally identifiable information, please let me know and we can revise the process outlined in 1.5 accordingly.

Please let me know if you'd like to discuss further. I am available until 12 p.m. or after 2 p.m. today.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-6905
amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 8, 2022 4:51 PM

To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedeakins.com>

Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>

Subject: Re: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

On Dec 8, 2022, at 4:36 PM, Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletree.com> wrote:

Thanks for sending this over, Josh. I appreciate you adding in the intimate information reference from the case you referenced, but this does not include other confidential and personal information that may be contained in the student or employee records requested. I understand the desire not to include overly broad references, and given you deleted my previously proposed language (from my November 18, 2022 draft), I instead included language directly from the Northern District of Ohio's Form Protective Order, available at <https://www.ohnd.uscourts.gov/forms>. Hopefully this addresses your concern. All of my proposed revisions are redlined for your convenience.

I discussed with CWRU today, and per our discussion yesterday afternoon, CWRU will agree to send FERPA notifications to the three students against whom Plaintiff has pending Title IX complaints in exchange for the notification process outlined in 1.5(c).

Please let me know if we have an agreement – if so, we can get this filed, and CWRU will send FERPA notifications to the two remaining students (as you know a FERPA notification has already been sent to Jane Roe).

I am working on responses to your other email now.

Thanks,

Amanda T. Quan | Ogletree Deakins

Key Tower, 127 Public Square, Suite 4100 | Cleveland, OH 44114 | Telephone: 216-274-

6905

amanda.quan@ogletree.com | www.ogletree.com | [Bio](#)

From: Joshua Adam Engel <engel@engelandmartin.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 4:23 PM
To: Quan, Amanda T. <amanda.quan@ogletreedekins.com>
Cc: Jim Hardin <hardin@engelandmartin.com>
Subject: Revised Draft Order

[Caution: Email received from external source]

I think this captures everything we discussed.

- 1) Materials that can be designated include FERPA materials an intimate information — see *Doe v. MIT*. Note Footnote 1 provides the ability to add designations if necessary.
- 2) Review Closely Section 1.5 — I think I got everything, including the inadvertent disclosure issues. For clarity, broken into (a) through (d).
- 3) We have agreed to the FERPA notification system you wanted (changed to seven days) which does not require immediate notification of ALL students involved on the following understanding: CWRU agrees to immediately notify all students identified in any of the investigations concerning the claims by or against Plaintiff.

Please get me responses ASAP, as I would like to present to Court by the 12/9 deadline for status report.

Joshua Adam Engel
Engel & Martin, LLC
4660 Duke Drive, Suite 101
Mason, OH 45040
513-445-9600
engel@engelandmartin.com
www.engelandmartin.com | @engelandmartin

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this E-mail, including any attachments, may be attorney-client privileged and/or confidential, and is intended only for the above named addressee. If the reader of this E-mail is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any examination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or via E-mail.

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022.docx>

<Noakes - CWRU Protective Order - 12-7-2022 (006).docx>